Remdesivir with IV Administration Alone is Unlikely to Achieve Adequate Efficacy and Pulmonary Delivery should be Investigated in COVID-19 Patients
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Abstract
Remdesivir is one of the most promising drugs to treat COVID-19 based on the following facts: remdesivir has a broad-spectrum antiviral mechanism of action; it demonstrated in vitro activity against SARS-CoV-2 and in vivo efficacy in animal models against the similar coronavirus MERS-CoV; its safety profile has been tested in Ebola patients and in compassionate use in COVID-19 patients. Currently, remdesivir is being investigated in ten randomized controlled trials against COVID-19. The dose regimen of remdesivir is an IV loading dose of 200 mg on day 1 followed by daily IV maintenance doses of 100 mg for 5-9 days. Based on our data analysis, however, remdesivir with IV administration alone is unlikely to achieve adequate clinical efficacy. This analysis is based on the following observation: plasma exposures of remdesivir and its active metabolite are unlikely to be correlated with its clinical efficacy; remdesivir and its active metabolites are unlikely to be adequate in the lung to inhibit the SARS-CoV-2 virus. Even if remdesivir demonstrates benefits in the current randomized controlled trials, its efficacy may be limited. We suggest that a combination of an IV and pulmonary delivery dose regimen should be studied immediately to realize a potentially more effective antiviral therapy against COVID-19.
The pandemic of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is not showing any signs of slowing down, and it may become a seasonal disease. Therefore, it is critical to develop therapies for COVID-19. Currently, remdesivir is being investigated against COVID-19 in ten randomized controlled trials. The dose regimen of remdesivir is an IV loading dose of 200 mg on day 1 followed by daily IV maintenance doses of 100 mg for 5-9 days (1). Several reports strongly suggest remdesivir would be efficacious against COVID-19. (a) Remdesivir has broad-spectrum of antiviral activity by inhibiting viral RNA synthesis (2). (b) Remdesivir has demonstrated in vitro antiviral activity (IC$_{50}$ 0.77 µM) against SARS-CoV-2 (3). (c) Studies of remdesivir have shown efficacy against other coronaviruses such as Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) virus MERS-CoV in vitro and in mice and monkeys (4-6). (d) The current IV dose regimen of remdesivir was evaluated in a randomized controlled trial in human Ebola patients with limited efficacy (7) and in a compassionate use in one COVID-19 patient (8).

During the time when this commentary was reviewed, an observational study of the compassionate use of remdesivir in 61 COVID-19 patients was published (9), showing clinical improvement in 36 of 53 patients (68%) and an overall mortality of 13% in COVID-19 patients. This may be superior to the previous reports that demonstrated the overall mortality of 17-78% in severe COVID-19 cases (10-13). However, authors have also outlined the limitations of this study (9), which include small sample size, no randomized control, exclusion of eight patients in the data analysis, and no pre-defined patient selection criteria and primary endpoints (14). In addition, some of the patients were still on ventilator support at the time of data analysis (14).

These preliminary clinical findings do not provide clear evidence of remdesivir’s efficacy against COVID-19. It is important to recognize that remdesivir was originally designed to treat Ebola, with excellent anti-Ebola virus activity in vitro and in animals (2). Its IC$_{50}$ (0.03-0.14 µM) against Ebola was 5- to 25-fold lower than the IC$_{50}$ against SARS-CoV-2 (2). Despite more potent antiviral activity, remdesivir only achieved very limited efficacy in Ebola patients (7). Furthermore, studies of remdesivir demonstrated excellent efficacy against Middle East Respiratory Syndrome virus MERS-CoV in vitro, in mice (25-50 mg/kg) and in monkeys (3-10 mg/kg) (4-6), which increases the hope for its efficacy against COVID-19. However, remdesivir’s IC$_{50}$ against MERS-CoV was 0.03-0.34 µM, which is 2- to 25-fold lower than the IC$_{50}$ for SARS-CoV-2. Unfortunately, clinical data have not been reported evaluating remdesivir’s efficacy in MERS human patients.

Although remdesivir is still a promising treatment for COVID-19 patients, the current clinical studies with IV administration alone are unlikely to achieve adequate clinical efficacy. Based on our analysis, even if remdesivir demonstrates benefits in the randomized controlled trials, its efficacy will be limited. We suggest that nebulizer inhalation of remdesivir, in combination with IV administration, needs to be evaluated immediately to realize greater potential antiviral activity against COVID-19. In addition, dry powder inhalation of remdesivir, in combination with IV administration, should be considered as a mid-term strategy for treatment of COVID-19 if COVID-19 becomes a seasonal disease. Furthermore, new modifications of remdesivir’s structure and formulations should be investigated as a long-term strategy to improve its efficacy against COVID-19 in the future.
1. Plasma concentrations of remdesivir and its active metabolite are not likely to be correlated with its clinical efficacy.

Remdesivir is a prodrug of nucleoside monophosphate (Nuc-MP) designed specifically to improve the cell permeability of Nuc-MP. The design of this prodrug bypasses the rate-limiting first phosphorylation step of the nucleoside (Nuc) (Figure 1) (2). Therefore, remdesivir cannot be given by oral administration because the oral route will result in hydrolysis of the prodrug to Nuc-MP in the GI tract. This transformation will prevent absorption due to the charge of the phosphate group. In animals, remdesivir was IV administered (10 mg/kg, equivalent to 100-200 mg in human)(15,16), and the remdesivir plasma concentrations decreased from ~4 µM to less than ~1 µM in 30 min (Table 1) (2). Remdesivir was rapidly hydrolyzed to the alanine metabolite followed by conversion to the nucleoside monophosphate (Nuc-MP). Nuc-MP plasma concentration were > 0.3-1 µM for 12-24 hrs (Table 1). However, nucleoside monophosphate (Nuc-MP) is charged and polar in plasma. Therefore, Nuc-MP can neither easily distribute into tissues nor penetrate cell membranes to enter cells. Distribution into cells is essential for antiviral activity. Therefore, plasma exposures of the prodrug remdesivir and its metabolites (i.e. alanine metabolite and nucleoside monophosphate) are not likely to be correlated with its clinical efficacy.

When remdesivir is administered intravenously, it distributes into tissues and blood cells through passive diffusion (2). Once inside cells, remdesivir is converted into Nuc-MP by intracellular hydrolases, ultimately forming the active metabolite nucleoside triphosphate (Nuc-TP) intracellularly (Figure 1). Intracellular Nuc-TP is negatively charged and thus it is trapped inside cells with a half-life of 14-24 hours (Table 1). Therefore, Nuc-TP cannot be detected in plasma, but is only detected in blood cells such as peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) at highly accumulated concentrations (20-40 µM) (2) (Table 1). The accumulation in PBMCs may be helpful to achieve antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-2 in these white blood cells. Since COVID-19 patients typically have lymphopenia, this suggests SARS-CoV-2 may infect lymphocytes (17). If Nuc-TP accumulates in lymphocytes, (not reported by Warren et al. (2), an abundance of Nuc-TP in these cells may help to inhibit SARS-CoV-2. However, it is not known if the high intracellular accumulation of Nuc-TP in PBMCs is correlated with its clinical efficacy against COVID-19, nor if this intracellular distribution translates into the high intracellular concentration in the lung where SARS-CoV-2 infects.
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Table 1. Drug and metabolite concentrations in plasma and PBMCs 2-24 hrs after an IV 10 mg/kg dose in monkey (2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Remdesivir (inactive) Plasma</th>
<th>Alanine-metabolite (inactive) Plasma</th>
<th>Nucleoside-monophosphate (Nuc-MP) (inactive?) Plasma</th>
<th>Nucleoside triphosphate (Nuc-TP) (active) Plasma</th>
<th>Nucleoside triphosphate (Nuc-TP) (active) PBMC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5 hr</td>
<td>~1 µM</td>
<td>~2 µM</td>
<td>~1 µM</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 hr</td>
<td>&lt;0.1 µM</td>
<td>&lt;0.5 µM</td>
<td>~1 µM</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>30-40 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 hr</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>&lt;0.2 µM</td>
<td>~0.4 µM</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>20-30 µM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 hr</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>&lt;0.2 µM</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>20-30 µM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND: not detected

2. At presently adopted IV doses, the concentrations of remdesivir and its active metabolite are unlikely to be adequate in the lung to inhibit the SARS-CoV-2 virus.

SARS-CoV-2 infections cause mild, severe, or critical pneumonia in COVID-19 patients. To achieve efficacy we believe that remdesivir needs to be distributed into the lung and achieve adequate concentrations. Following IV dosing (100-200 mg), based on available data in human and animals (2,5,18), and the following analysis, it is unlikely that remdesivir and its active metabolite nucleoside triphosphate (Nuc-TP) could reach adequate concentrations in the human lung to inhibit SARS-CoV-2.

First, based on its physicochemical properties, instability in tissues, and pharmacokinetic properties, remdesivir is expected to have low tissue distribution and penetration, especially into the lung. In monkey studies, remdesivir was not detectable in the lung (2,5), and the concentrations of its active metabolite nucleoside triphosphate (Nuc-TP) were only 0.8-1.5 µM in the monkey lung following an IV dose of 10 mg/kg remdesivir (equivalent to a 100-200 mg dose in human) (Table 2) (2,5). In carboxylesterase knockout mouse studies (Ces1c-/− prevents remdesivir hydrolysis), the Nuc-TP concentration in the mouse lung was ~ 3 µM following remdesivir IV 25-50 mg/kg (equivalent 140-280 mg in human)(15,16); this result however may overestimate the drug distribution in the lung due to the lack of carboxylesterase in Ces1c-/− mouse (5).

Second, the in vitro IC\textsubscript{50} (0.77 µM) of remdesivir against SARS-CoV-2 in cell culture is a pseudo-IC\textsubscript{50} since remdesivir is an inactive prodrug (3). The true IC\textsubscript{50} should be determined by the active form of the intracellular metabolite nucleoside triphosphate (Nuc-TP), which would likely require significantly higher concentration in inhibiting the SARS-CoV-2. Due to its charge, Nuc-TP is trapped intracellularly and accumulates to concentrations at 50-600 µM when 1 µM remdesivir was incubated with a variety of human and monkey cells (PBMC, monocytes, Hela, macrophage, and HUVEC) (Table 3) (2). Therefore, a pseudo-IC\textsubscript{50} of 0.77 µM of prodrug remdesivir in cell culture would require a projected IC\textsubscript{50} of 38-462 µM of intracellular Nuc-TP in inhibiting the SARS-CoV-2 in the same cells (3). In another study with 2B4 cells, the intracellular Nuc-TP accumulation was more than 3-fold compared to extracellular remdesivir (5). Therefore, an IC\textsubscript{50} of 0.77 µM of remdesivir in the cell culture would require an IC\textsubscript{50} of 2.31 µM of intracellular Nuc-TP. However, the calculation of intracellular concentration is not well
defined since different laboratories may use different cellular volumes, cell numbers, or protein concentrations to normalize the intracellular concentration. On average, it is reasonable to assume that the intracellular Nuc-TP accumulates more than 10-fold compared to extracellular remdesivir because of intracellular trapping. Therefore, the in vitro pseudo-IC$_{50}$ (0.77 µM) and IC$_{90}$ (1.76 µM) of remdesivir (3) would require the true IC$_{50}$ (> 7.7 µM) and IC$_{90}$ (> 17.6 µM) of intracellular Nuc-TP to inhibit SARS-CoV-2 in cell culture (Table 2).

Table 2. Extracellular and intracellular concentrations when remdesivir (1 µM) was incubated with various cells compared with the IC$_{50}$ and IC$_{90}$ against SARS-CoV-2 (3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Remdesivir</th>
<th>Alanine-metabolite</th>
<th>Nucleoside-monophosphate (Nuc-MP)</th>
<th>Nucleoside triphosphate (Nuc-TP)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IC$_{50}$</td>
<td>0.77 µM</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>~7.7 µM (estimated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC$_{90}$</td>
<td>1.76 µM</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>~17.6 µM (estimated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentration in cell culture medium</td>
<td>1 µM</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracellular concentration</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1-70 µM</td>
<td>5-50 µM</td>
<td>50-600 µM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND: not determined

Third, the current IV dose regimen (100-200 mg) in humans is unlikely to achieve detectable levels of remdesivir and an effective intracellular Nuc-TP concentrations in the lung (IC$_{50}$ >7.7 µM, IC$_{90}$ >17.6 µM) to inhibit SARS-CoV-2. In human studies of Ebola patients, IV infusion of remdesivir (75 mg, 30 min infusion) achieved a plasma AUC of remdesivir of 1254 h*ng/ml (18) with a half-life of 1 hr. Therefore, plasma remdesivir would be undetectable after 8 hrs (with T$_{1/2}$ < 1 hr), which is similar to the studies in monkeys (2). It is reasonable to assume this AUC was mainly contributed by the AUC from 0 to 8 hrs. Therefore, the average remdesivir plasma concentration may be estimated at < 0.25 - 1 µM from 1-8 hrs (AUC/8 hrs). The same IV infusion dose (75 mg, 30 min infusion) generated an AUC of Nuc-TP in human PBMCs of 394 h*ng/ml, which is significantly lower than that in PBMCs of monkeys (IV 10 mg/kg) (18). Nuc-TP showed a 3.46-fold accumulation ratio in human PBMCs compared to remdesivir (18). In monkey studies (IV 10 mg/kg, equivalent to 100-200 mg in humans), remdesivir plasma concentrations was 0.5-4 µM from 10-30 min, and Nuc-TP concentrations in monkey PBMCs was 20-40 µM. However, remdesivir was not detectable and Nuc-TP concentration was only 0.8 - 1.5 µM in the monkey lung tissues after remdesivir IV 10 mg/kg dose (2). These pharmacokinetic comparisons suggest that the exposure of remdesivir and its active metabolite in human plasma, PBMCs, and the lung after IV infusion in human (75 mg over 30 min) would be lower than or at most similar to that in monkeys (IV 10 mg/kg). Therefore, remdesivir is unlikely to be detectable in the human lung tissues. Assuming similar distribution and accumulation ratios of remdesivir and Nuc-TP in the lung between humans and monkeys, an optimistic estimation of Nuc-TP in the human lung tissues is 2-fold higher at steady-state than that observed in the monkey lung tissues (2). This suggests that an IV dose of 200 mg remdesivir in a human may only achieve a suboptimal concentration of active form of Nuc-TP of < 2-4
µM in the human lung tissues (Table 3). Given that the intracellular volume (0.54 L) is 46% of the total volume of the lung (1.17 L) (19), the intracellular concentration of Nuc-TP in the human lung may be only at 4-8 µM (Table 3), which is below the estimated intracellular IC50 and IC90 of Nuc-TP. Unfortunately, systemic adverse effects such as hepatotoxicity preclude escalation of the remdesivir dose to more than 200 mg/day.

3. Pulmonary delivery of remdesivir needs to be investigated to improve its clinical efficacy against COVID-19.

Direct pulmonary delivery of remdesivir may provide higher lung concentrations of remdesivir and Nuc-TP as well as reduce the systemic toxicity in COVID-19 patients. To reach an intracellular Nuc-TP concentration of 17.6 µM (IC90) in the human lungs, the total amount of remdesivir to be delivered into the lung is projected to be approximately 10.5 mg (17.6 µM * 0.54 L ÷ 55% * 603 g/mole), where 0.54 L is the total intracellular fluid volume (46%) of human lung volume (19), and 55% of remdesivir in human cells was converted into Nuc-TP (2). If we assume that only 20% of the dose is delivered into the lung while the other 80% of the remdesivir dose is lost in the oropharynx, device, and breath exhalation (20), the projected daily inhalation dose would be 52.5 mg (Table 4).

However, this inhalation dose estimation is based on theoretical calculation with uncertainty, which only serves as a general guideline. Since the inhalation dose is neither tested in animal models nor in humans, the dose selection needs to be discussed and approved by the regulatory agencies for human trials.

A. Nebulizer inhalation of remdesivir can be investigated immediately in COVID-19 patients.

Nebulizer inhalation of remdesivir 50 mg for 30 minutes is the easiest and fastest method of direct pulmonary administration. This regimen can be investigated using the
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current lyophilized formulation being used in the clinical trials of COVID-19 patients within days after regulatory approval (Table 5). It is recommended that the duration of nebulizer inhalation of 50 mg remdesivir should not be more than 1-2 hrs, since remdesivir is not stable in aqueous solution (T1/2 <1-2 hrs) (21) and with longer inhalation periods the drug would be hydrolyzed into nucleoside monophosphate (Nuc-MP) that cannot enter lung cells for its antiviral activity (Table 5).

In addition, the nebulizer inhalation should be investigated in COVID-19 patients with mild pneumonia symptoms for the following reasons. First, COVID-19 patients with mild symptoms can self-administer nebulized remdesivir to minimize the risk to healthcare professionals due to aerosol generation. Second, nebulizer inhalation would be difficult to be administered in COVID-19 patients with ventilators. Third, the antiviral drug should be given to patients as early as possible (22,23), since it may not have much benefit for COVID-19 patients with severe pneumonia whose lung function may have been severely damaged.

However, we strongly suggest the nebulizer inhalation of remdesivir needs to be discussed and approved by the regulatory agencies to determine a correct dose and an appropriate formulation for this change in route of administration. Nebulizer inhalation may have variable delivery efficiency to the lung, which will affect the inhalation dose calculation. In addition, the current lyophilized formulation (with beta-cyclodextrin) as an inhalation dosage form has not been tested in animal models nor in humans.

**Table 5. Dose regimen of 50 mg remdesivir using Nebulizer inhalation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhalation Dose</th>
<th>Solubility of current clinical formulation (7)</th>
<th>Inhalation Volume needed</th>
<th>Inhalation time needed</th>
<th>Stability in aqueous solution (21)</th>
<th>IV infusion dose, volume, time (7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50 mg</td>
<td>5 mg/ml Lyophilized powder</td>
<td>10 ml</td>
<td>&lt;30 min (may need 1-2 times inhalation for 50 mg dose)</td>
<td>T1/2 = 69 min</td>
<td>lyophilized 100 mg dose is dissolved in 19 ml water, diluted in 250 ml saline, infused for 30 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Dry powder inhalation of remdesivir 50 mg needs to be evaluated as a medium-term strategy against COVID-19.

If COVID-19 becomes a seasonal disease, a dry powder for inhalation could be developed for use as a mid-term strategy. A dry powder formulation of remdesivir could potentially be manufactured containing 2.5 µm remdesivir crystalline form mixed with different sized particles of lactose (15 µm to 200 µm). The prodrug remdesivir is anticipated to be stable enough in the alveolar space of the lung for 0.5-1 hr, which may be an adequate amount of time for it to diffuse into lung cells for its activity against SARS-CoV-2. Dry powder inhalation would be convenient and would also reduce the risk of spreading the virus to healthcare professionals by minimizing the aerosolization of lung secretions. However, a dry powder inhalation product would need a substantial development effort, including formulation research, manufacturing, scale up, and regulatory approvals. However, if pulmonary delivery is demonstrated to be safe and effective, this approach would be ideal for the treatment of COVID-19 patients in an outpatient setting, especially if COVID-19 becomes a recurrent seasonal disease.
C. Modifications of remdesivir structure and formulation can be a long-term strategy against COVID-19 in the future.

Remdesivir is one of the most promising drugs for treatment of COVID-19, but its efficacy may be limited by its poor physicochemical properties and poor tissue distribution/penetration in the target organs (lung, spleen, lymph nodes and others). Two approaches can be applied to improve these properties. One strategy is to modify the prodrug moiety without alteration of the active moiety (nucleoside monophosphate, Nuc-MP) (21). The improved modified series of compounds would better balance the antiviral activity relative to enhance target tissue distribution. Another approach is to use nanoformulations of remdesivir or its modified analogs to enhance tissue targeting and efficacy (24,25). Ideally, the modified compounds or formulations could be used either for IV administration and/or pulmonary delivery using a nano-in-micro particle formulation. These approaches obviously require more effort to achieve an optimal form, and thus should be considered as a long-term strategy for future development in the midst of the current crisis.

4. IV administration of remdesivir is still required for its systemic efficacy against COVID-19.

Most viruses specifically attack the lymphatic system and other organs causing tissue injury (17). In addition to lung injury, lymphopenia and smaller spleen are often observed in COVID-19 patients. Therefore, in addition to inhibiting SARS-CoV-2 in the lung, the inhibition of the virus in lymphocytes, lymph nodes, the spleen, and other organs may still be required for adequate antiviral therapy. A previous report showed total radioactive $^{14}$C-remdesivir distribution after an IV 10 mg/kg dose in monkey (2). Remdesivir and its metabolites were distributed into the testes, epididymis, eyes, and brain (~0.2 to 2.3 µM) (2), while the concentration in the spleen, lymph nodes, and lymphocytes were not reported. It is reasonable to assume that the observed higher concentrations of nucleoside triphosphate (Nuc-TP) in PBMCs (2) may translate into an expectation of higher concentrations in lymphocytes and spleen. This may explain the clinical results of remdesivir that showed some efficacy in Ebola patients, where Nuc-TP would distribute into the spleen and lymph nodes to kill the Ebola virus in these organs (7). Assuming that the SARS-CoV-2 virus may infect these lymphatic organs and other organs, an IV dose of remdesivir will undoubtedly still be required to achieve adequate exposure in the lymphatic organs and other organs for its systemic efficacy against COVID-19. However, if both inhalation and IV infusion doses are used, the IV dose may also need to be adjusted by consultation with regulatory agencies.

5. Limitations

Although we suggest that pulmonary delivery of remdesivir needs to be investigated in combination with the IV dose, it is worth noting several limitations. (A) The Nuc-TP concentrations in the human lung are estimated with uncertainty. No technology is available to directly measure the drug concentration in human lungs. The best available pharmacokinetic data in human are the concentrations of remdesivir and its metabolites in plasma and PBMCs. The estimation of Nuc-TP concentration in the human lung tissues is based on the assumption that human and monkey lung cells have similar ability to uptake and accumulate remdesivir and Nuc-TP. Different assumptions on the
accumulation ratios between different species (ranging from 1 to 4-fold) may change the estimation of Nuc-TP concentration in the human lung tissues (2,5). Based on the pharmacokinetics and tissue concentrations of drugs in monkeys, pharmacokinetic models could be established to predict the drug concentration in human lung or other organs. However, most of the data needed for the development of these computational models are not available at this moment (such as drug metabolism kinetics, protein binding, tissue distribution in various organs and mass balance studies). Therefore, it will be challenging to accurately predict the drug concentrations in the human lung in the short term without additional studies. (B) Pulmonary delivery of remdesivir has its own challenges. For instance, the inhaled drug in the lung may not be evenly distributed throughout the lung. It is also difficult to estimate the intracellular concentrations of the drug and active metabolites in the lung. Further, it is not clear what types of cells (alveolar macrophage, epithelial cells, and other cell types) should be targeted or what cells would preferentially uptake the drug for its efficacy against SARS-CoV-2 in the lung. Finally, the risk/benefit profile is not known for pulmonary delivery in COVID-19 patients who may have damaged lung function. (C) It is not clear what types of COVID-19 patients (with mild, severe, or critical pneumonia) should use pulmonary delivery. Finally, there is a paucity of established clinical endpoints that could be readily used to evaluate the safety and efficacy of pulmonary treatment in these COVID-19 patients.

Despite these limitations, however, nebulizer inhalation of remdesivir, in combination with IV administration, should be investigated immediately in COVID-19 patients. It would be advantageous not to wait for a few more months to start a new clinical trial using pulmonary delivery after the completion of the current ten randomized controlled clinical trials, since COVID-19 patients suffer high mortality in the current COVID-19 pandemic crisis.
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