Activation of the Unfolded Protein Response via Inhibition of Protein Disulfide Isomerase Decreases the Capacity for DNA Repair to Sensitize Glioblastoma to Radiotherapy
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Abstract

Patients with glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) survive on average 12 to 14 months after diagnosis despite surgical resection followed by radiotherapy and temozolomide therapy. Intrinsic or acquired resistance to chemo- and radiotherapy is common and contributes to a high rate of recurrence. To investigate the therapeutic potential of protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) as a target to overcome resistance to chemoradiation, we developed a GBM tumor model wherein conditional genetic ablation of prolyl 4-hydroxylase subunit beta (P4HB), the gene that encodes PDI, can be accomplished. Loss of PDI expression induced the unfolded protein response (UPR) and decreased cell survival in two independent GBM models. Nascent RNA Bru-seq analysis of PDI-depleted cells revealed a decrease in transcription of genes involved in DNA repair and cell-cycle regulation. Activation of the UPR also led to a robust decrease in RAD51 protein expression as a result of its ubiquitination-mediated proteosomal degradation. Clonogenic survival assays demonstrated enhanced killing of GBM cells in response to a combination of PDI knockdown and ionizing radiation (IR) compared with either modality alone, which correlated with a decreased capacity to repair IR-induced DNA damage. Synergistic tumor control was also observed with the combination of PDI inhibition and IR in a mouse xenograft model compared with either single agent alone. These findings provide a strong rationale for the development of PDI inhibitors and their use in combination with DNA damage-inducing, standard-of-care therapies such as IR.

Significance: These findings identify PDIA1 as a therapeutic target in GBM by demonstrating efficacy of its inhibition in combination with radiotherapy through a novel mechanism involving downregulation of DNA repair genes.
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Introduction

Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) is the most common and lethal primary malignant brain tumor (1). The standard of care treatment for GBM involves maximal tumor resection followed by adjuvant temozolomide combined with radiotherapy. This combination demonstrates a modest improvement in median survival to 15 months compared with 12 months with radiation alone (2, 3). Tumor recurrence occurs in most patients with GBM, resulting in an average 5-year survival rate of only 5% (4). Genetic profiling has identified recurrent copy number alterations and/or mutations in EGFR, neurofibromin 1 (NF1), platelet-derived growth factor receptor alpha (PDGFRα)/isoactivate dehydrogenase [NADP(+)]/1 (IDH1), TP53, PI3K complex, and cyclin-dependent kinase (PDGFα)/isocitrate dehydrogenase [NADP (+) ] gene family that encodes PDI proteins, comprises 21 members (26), of which PDIA1 is believed to be the most highly function (26), of which PDIA1 is believed to be the most highly selective dependence of GBM cells on PDI to validate it as a therapeutic target.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines and treatment

U87 (purchased from ATCC) and D54 (27, 28) cells were maintained in DMEM (VWR, Corning) and RPMI (Life Technologies; Gibco) respectively, supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS (GE Healthcare; Hyclone). The cells were authenticated using short tandem repeat profiling (tested on 12/3/18), and routinely tested for Mycoplasma contamination (latest test on November 26, 2018) with MycoAlert Mycoplasma Detection Kit (Lonza). All cells used in the experiments were thawed within 10 passages and were maintained in vitro no more than 2 months.

For tunicamycin and MG132 (both from Sigma-Aldrich) treatment, cells were seeded overnight, and tunicamycin (5 μg/mL) was added with or without MG132 (10 μmol/L) for 16 hours. For DNA repair studies, D54 and U87 cells were plated at 100,000 cells/well onto 0.1% poly-L-lysine (Sigma) coated plates (Kimtron Medical) and the cells were

Discussion

The PDI family consists of 21 enzymes that catalyze disulfide bond formation, reduction, and isomerization to ensure proper folding of nascent polypeptides (14), and also act as chaperones to assist protein folding (15). Several, but not all, of the PDI family members are primarily localized to the ER, the central compartment for protein folding and degradation, to maintain physiological homeostasis (16). In response to ER stress, an imbalance between the unfolded protein load and the protein folding machinery in the ER initiates a collection of signaling cascades termed the unfolded protein response (UPR) to restore a productive ER protein-folding environment by enhancing the capacity for protein folding and transport (17, 18). Expression of PDIA1, the canonical member of the PDI family, is upregulated in brain and central nervous system cancers compared with matched normal tissues (19). In addition, proteomic analysis has revealed upregulation of the PDI in many cancers (20). The PDI family, is upregulated in brain and central nervous system cancers compared with matched normal tissues (19). In addition, proteomic analysis has revealed upregulation of the PDI in many cancers (20).

Because of the high rate of protein synthesis in cancer cells, an enhanced capacity for protein folding is required, which puts major demand on the protein folding machinery in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). To accommodate such demand, upregulation of proteins such as PDI is often observed (3). The fact that GBM tumors show intratumoral heterogeneity may at least partially contribute to the dismal outcomes of therapeutic targeting of commonly dysregulated oncogenic pathways. Therefore, there is an urgent need to develop therapies that target pathways required for survival of glioblastoma, irrespective of oncogenic mutation status.
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the following day at a clonogenic density with fresh medium (without doxycycline) for 7 to 14 days before the colonies were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Science) and stained using 0.1% Crystal Violet (Sigma-Aldrich).

qPCR

PDI shRNA was induced for 1 to 3 days in D54 and U87 stable cells and total RNA was extracted using the Qiagen RNeasy Kit (Qiagen). One microgram of total RNA was reverse-transcribed into cDNA using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). qPCR was performed using SYBR Green Master Mix (Bio-Rad) on a Mastercycler RealPlex2 (Eppendorf) with denaturation at 94°C for 15 minutes followed by 40 cycles of amplification at 94°C for 15 seconds; 55°C for 30 seconds; 72°C for 30 seconds. Sequences of the primers are listed in Supplementary Table S1. Relative expression levels were normalized to GAPDH and fold changes in mRNA expression level were evaluated using the ΔΔCt method.

Western blot analysis

Cells or snap-frozen tissues were lysed in RIPA buffer consisting of 150 mmol/L NaCl, 1 mmol/L EDTA (pH 8.0), 50 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 1% NP-40 (v/v), 0.25% sodium deoxycholate (w/v), 0.1% SDS (w/v; all from Sigma-Aldrich), supplemented with PhosSTOP Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail and cOmplete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche), and sonicated with a Sonic Dismembrator Model 100 (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Western blotting was performed as described previously (32), using primary antibodies to PDI, BIP, elF2α, p-elF2α (S51), RAD51, phospho-Histone H2AX (Ser139), B-ACTIN.

Figure 1.
PDI inhibition induces ER stress in GBM cells. PDIA1 KD was induced in D54 by adding doxycycline (2 μg/mL; A) and the lysates were collected after 12, 16, 24, and 48 hours and ER stress markers were quantified (B). Doxycycline (2 μg/mL) was added to U87 cells (C) for 24, 48, and 72 hours to induce PDIA1 shRNA and the lysates were collected for ER stress marker quantification (D). Protein expression level was normalized against β-actin. Data are means ± SD from three independent experiments. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001.
all from Cell Signaling Technology), and β-actin (Sigma). Horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) and goat anti-mouse (H+L; Jackson ImmunoResearch) were applied as secondary antibodies and Pierce ECL (Thermal Scientific) or ECL prime (GE Healthcare) were used as substrate (detailed antibody working concentrations are listed in Supplementary Table S2).

Immunofluorescent staining

Cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (Electron Microscopy Science) for 15 minutes at room temperature and washed with 1× PBS before permeabilization in 0.3% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) for 10 minutes at room temperature. The slides were blocked in 10% goat serum (Reagent A from Invitrogen Histostain-Plus Kit) for 30 minutes and γH2AX-AF488 (Millipore) was applied at 1:100 dilution in 1% blocking solution overnight. ProLong Gold with DAPI (Invitrogen) were used to prepare the slides for analysis using an Olympus BX-51 scope. γ-H2AX signal was quantified using ImageJ software. More than 100 cells were analyzed per experiment per condition.

Xenograft mouse model of glioblastoma

A total of 1 × 10^6 U87-pTripz-PDIA1 shRNA stable cells in 100 μL DMEM (VWR; Corning; Matrigel (BD Bioscience; 1:1) suspension were subcutaneously injected into 6 to 8 weeks old NCRNU sp/sp mice (Taconic). Tumor size was monitored twice weekly and tumor volume was determined as (L × W × W)/2, where W is tumor width and L is tumor length. Mice were randomized into four groups when tumors reached around 100 mm^3 (five to eight animals/group), and two groups were switched to doxycycline-inducible PDI shRNA expression can be achieved. Two shRNAs targeting distinct sequences were used for PDI KD. In D54 cells, downregulation of PDI with shRNA2 was evident as early as 12 hours after doxycycline treatment (1.2-fold decrease compared with control, P = 0.0013), with a 5.9-fold decrease at 48 hours post-induction (Fig. 1A and B). In U87 cells, the decrease in PDI protein levels started at 24 hours (1.1-fold decrease compared with control) but only became significant after 48 hours (1.7-fold deduction compared with control, P = 0.0047; Fig. 1C and D). We next explored whether downregulation of PDI leads to ER stress. Increased levels of BiP, a well characterized marker for ER stress (33), was observed as early as 12 hours after doxycycline treatment (1.4-fold increase compared with control, P = 0.0105) in D54 cells (Fig. 1A and C) and remained elevated at 24 hours (1.7-fold increase, P = 0.0048) post-shRNA2 induction. However, BiP returned to basal levels around 48 hours post-doxycycline treatment, despite a further decline in PDI protein expression. In U87 cells (Fig. 1C and D), upregulation of BiP was detected 24 hours after doxycycline addition (1.3-fold increase, P = 0.0023), and expression was maintained up to 72 hours (1.8-fold increase, P = 0.0240).

Upon ER stress, EIF2α is phosphorylated (p-EIF2α) at serine 51, leading to inhibition of protein translation and preventing further entry of nascent polypeptides into the ER (34). p-EIF2α levels were elevated 12 hours after initiation of PDI KD in D54

Statistical analysis

ImageJ was used for protein quantification and all proteins were normalized against loading control. The statistical significance between two groups was evaluated based on two-tailed Student t test using GraphPad Prism (Version 7). P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

PDI knockdown leads to ER stress in GBM cells
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cells (1.7-fold increase compared with control, \( P = 0.0026 \)) and remained elevated at 24 hours (2.2-fold increase, \( P = 7 \times 10^{-4} \)) but returned to basal levels after 48 hours (Fig. 1A and B). In U87 cells, p-EIF2\(\alpha\) levels were significantly upregulated at 48 hours (2.0-fold increase, \( P = 0.0090 \)) and remained elevated at 72 hours (1.8-fold increase, \( P = 0.0380 \); Fig. 1C and D), a pattern that correlated to changes observed for BiP and the dynamics of PDI downregulation in both cell lines.

**PDI KD leads to decreased transcription of DNA repair genes**

In response to unfolded proteins, dissociation of BiP from the ER membrane-spanning UPR receptor proteins, PERK, IRE1, and ATF6, results in transcriptional, translational, and posttranslational changes in gene expression to restore cellular homeostasis (35). To investigate immediate changes in gene transcription in response to PDI KD and resulting accumulation of unfolded proteins, we conducted genome-wide nascent RNA Bru-seq analysis (31). We found that KD of PDI expression for 72 hours resulted in transcriptional upregulation of 153 and 58 genes by greater than two-fold, whereas 135 and 41 genes were downregulated greater than two-fold in D54 and U87 cells, respectively. GSEA revealed that among the Hallmark pathways, MYC and E2F1 targets were suppressed as was KRAS signaling, G2 checkpoint, results in transcriptional, translational, and posttranslational changes in gene expression to restore cellular homeostasis.

**PDI KD leads to ER stress and downregulation of RAD51**

PDI KD induces ER stress that downregulates RAD51. qPCR (Fig. 3**A** and B) and Western blot (Fig. 3**C**) analysis for PDI and RAD51 expression level after doxycycline induction as indicated. qPCR data are presented as means \( \pm SD \). \( * P < 0.05 \), \( ** P < 0.01 \), \( *** P < 0.001 \) from three independent experiments. D, Immunoblot analysis of CRISPR/Cas9 targeting P4HB U87 cells after 48 hours of infection. **E,** Tunicamycin (Tm) was added at 5 \( \mu \)g/mL to D54 and U87 cells for 12 hours and RAD51 and BiP protein expression were assessed.

**PDI inhibition impairs DNA repair capacity in GBM**

Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways showed a marked suppression of ribosome and DNA replication as well as of four major DNA repair pathways and purine/pyrimidine and glutathione metabolism (Fig. 2D–F). RAD51, which plays a central role in homologous recombination repair (HR), showed a modest but significant reduction in transcription (Supplementary Fig. S1). To further investigate the effect of PDI KD on RAD51 gene expression, total RNA was extracted 24, 48, and 72 hours after doxycycline treatment and analyzed for steady-state PDAI1 and RAD51 mRNA levels by qRT-PCR. Maximum KD of PDAI1 mRNA was achieved in D54 and U87 cells after 72 hours of shRNA2 induction with a 5.6- and 4-fold downregulation of PDAI1 mRNA (\( P < 0.0001 \)), respectively. Downregulation of RAD51 steady-state RNA expression was detected at 48 hours after PDI KD and reached approximately a 2.0-fold decrease compared with control at 72 hours (\( P < 0.0001 \)). In addition, GRP78, the gene encoding BiP, showed significantly upregulated RNA expression (\( P < 0.01 \) and \( P < 0.001 \) in D54 and U87 cells, respectively; Fig. 3A and B), indicating induction of ER stress in response to PDI KD. Although Bru-seq and qRT-PCR analysis revealed a modest reduction of transcription and steady-state RNA levels, Western blot analysis revealed a robust downregulation of RAD51 protein levels (10-fold) after 48 hours and 72 hours in both D54 and U87 cells (Fig. 3C). To independently confirm the observation that PDI KD leads to ER stress and downregulation of
RAD51, we performed CRISPR/Cas9, where sgRNA targeting P4HB exon was inserted into a lentiCRISPR vector (36). Analysis of cells after a short-term enrichment of infected cells using puromycin revealed that CRISPR/Cas9-mediated deletion of the PDI locus led to a simultaneous increase in ER stress as detected by an upregulation of phosphorylated eIF2α and a concomitant decrease in RAD51 levels (Fig. 3D). To investigate whether the downregulation of RAD51 occurred specifically as a consequence of PDI KD or as a general response of the UPR, GBM cells were treated with tunicamycin, a potent inhibitor of N-linked glycosylation and inducer of the UPR. Tunicamycin treatment resulted in BiP upregulation and a concomitant decrease in RAD51 protein levels after 12 hours (Fig. 3E). Thus, mRNA levels of RAD51, a key mediator of homologous recombination mediated DNA repair, were reduced in response to accumulation of unfolded proteins induced by either PDI KD or tunicamycin.

RAD51 is targeted by ubiquitin-mediated proteasomal degradation following ER stress

Upon ER stress, the UPR triggers three major cellular responses: inhibition of transcription and protein translation, upregulation of protein-folding capacity to maintain ER homeostasis and ER-associated protein degradation to eliminate misfolded proteins (ERAD; ref. 18). Because the robust (10-fold) decrease in RAD51 protein expression (Fig. 3C) was not in agreement with results from analysis of RAD51 transcript levels using qPCR and Bru-Seq analysis, we investigated whether PDI KD causes ERAD-mediated degradation of RAD51. Inhibition of protein synthesis using cycloheximide (CHX) followed by evaluation of RAD51 protein levels would reveal protein decay rates in control and PDI KD cells. As shown in Fig. 4A and B, PDI KD resulted in a RAD51 protein half-life of approximately 2 and 6.5 hours in U87 and D54 cells, respectively, compared with 4 and 8 hours in control cells. Addition of the proteasome inhibitor MG132 rescued RAD51 protein levels in both control and PDI KD cells, suggesting that RAD51 is subjected to proteasome-mediated degradation (Supplementary Fig. S2). To assess whether the increased rate of RAD51 decay in the PDI KD cells is due to the activation of ER stress-associated degradation, we induced ER stress by treating parental GBM cells with tunicamycin and similarly observed increased protein decay rates of RAD51 (Fig. 4C and D; Supplementary Fig. S3). Taken together, our results show that induction of ER stress via either PDI KD or tunicamycin resulted in the reduction of RAD51 by a combination of reduced transcription and increased protein degradation.

![Figure 4](https://example.com/figure4.png)

Figure 4. ER stress leads to decreased RAD51 stability. PDI shRNA expression was induced in U87 (A) and D54 (B) cells for 48 hours in response to 2 μg/mL doxycycline. MG132 (10 μM/L) was added for the last 16 hours of the 48-hour doxycycline treatment and the cells were prepared for Western blot analysis. Parental U87 (C) and D54 (D) cells were treated with tunicamycin (Tm; 5 μg/mL) for 16 hours prior to addition of MG132 (10 μM/L) and cycloheximide (100 μg/mL), and cells were harvested at indicated time points for Western blot analysis. All bands were normalized against β-actin and then against corresponding control sample without cycloheximide. Data are presented as means ± SEM from three independent experiments. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001.
and increased proteasome-mediated degradation. A decrease in RAD51 activity, as a result of transcriptional or posttranslational mechanisms, significantly compromises the ability of cells to repair DNA damage with high fidelity.

**PDI KD sensitizes GBM cells to radiation**

An enhanced capacity to repair damaged DNA in response to temozolomide and IR underlies the therapeutic resistance and high rate of recurrence in GBM (37). We therefore hypothesized that the observed downregulation of DNA repair enzymes (Figs. 2 and 3) in response to PDI KD may sensitize GBM cells to radiotherapy (IR). PDI KD alone inhibited cell growth by 2- and 1.3-fold in D54 and U87, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S4A). Importantly, PDI KD sensitized GBM cells to radiation (Fig. 5A and B) with an enhancement ratio of 1.4 ± 0.11 and 1.3 ± 0.12 in U87 and D54 cells, respectively (Supplementary Fig. S4B). Based on the central role for RAD51 in DNA double-strand break (DSB) repair (38), we explored the capacity of cells to repair IR-induced DNA damage in the presence or absence of PDI expression, using γH2AX foci formation as a surrogate for DNA DSBs. γH2AX foci were detected 30 minutes after irradiation with 2 Gy of IR in both control and PDI KD cells. These foci were then resolved over a 24-hour recovery period as the cells repaired the IR-induced DSBs. Importantly, the rate at which the γH2AX foci were resolved was significantly slower in the PDI KD cells compared with the control cells (Fig. 5C–F). Thus, the reduced transcription of DNA repair genes such as RAD51 and ERAD-mediated protein degradation following PDI KD correlates with reduced rates of DSB repair and increased sensitivity to IR.

**PDI KD sensitizes GBM xenografts to radiation**

To investigate if PDI KD could also enhance the efficacy of radiotherapy in an *in vivo* GBM model, U87 cells expressing inducible PDI-targeting shRNA were implanted into the flanks of nude mice. Induction of PDI shRNA alone extended the tumor doubling time, from 6.8 ± 1.10 days in the control group to 11.5 ± 1.29 days in the PDI KD group (*P* < 0.001), whereas IR alone increased the doubling time to 16.2 ± 1.17 days (Fig. 6A and B). Despite the 3.5-fold decrease in tumor volume after doxycycline-mediated shRNA expression, the tumors eventually regrew at 12 days posttreatment termination, whereas in mice treated with IR, tumor growth was controlled until 26 days after the last treatment. Similar to our *in vitro* findings using clonogenic survival assays, *in vivo* induction of PDI-targeting shRNA combined with radiotherapy led to a synergistic tumor control as determined by tumor volume (4.8 ± 2.53 mm³). In addition, this combination treatment prevented tumor regrowth for greater than 40 days posttreatment. Importantly, the combination treatment regimen was well tolerated in mice as determined by limited body weight loss (Fig. 6C). To study the mechanistic basis of PDI KD-mediated radiosensitization *in vivo*, two tumors from each group were harvested 1 hour after the last dose of treatment and analyzed by Western blot analysis (Fig. 6D). PDI and RAD51 expression was reduced in the doxycycline-treated tumors, and especially in
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Figure 5. KD of PDI sensitizes GBM cells to radiation. U87 (A) and D54 (B) cells were treated with 0, 2, 4, 6, or 8 Gy radiation doses and plated for clonogenic survival analysis. PDIA6 KD was induced with 2 μg/μL doxycycline for 72 hours in U87 (C) and D54 (D). DsRed is an indicator for PDIA6 shRNA induction. Cells were irradiated at 2 Gy, fixed, and stained with γH2AX antibody at indicated time points. Cells with ≥5 γH2AX foci/nucleus were counted as positive and at least 100 cells were counted for each time point from each experiment. Quantification of γH2AX foci in U87 (E) and D54 (F). Data from three independent experiments is presented as means ± SD. *, *P* < 0.05; **, *P* < 0.01; †††, *P* < 0.001.
the combination group. In addition, consistent with our in vitro findings, accumulation of DNA damage as evidenced by γH2AX levels was most apparent in tumors after the combination treatment, indicating a compromised ability to repair IR-induced DNA damage following PDIA1 KD.

**Discussion**

Markers of ER stress are often upregulated in solid tumors and correlate with tumor stage (17). In addition, the UPR is thought to be actively involved in promoting tumor initiation and aggressive phenotypes as it participates in prosurvival processes. The prosurvival functions of the UPR are accomplished through direct regulation of protein synthesis and enhanced capacity for protein folding and posttranslational modifications within the ER (e.g., enhanced PDI activity). Furthermore, degradation of unfolded/misfolded or damaged proteins is induced by the ERAD system. Cancer cells are hypersensitive to agents that augment ER stress due to a sustained elevation of ER stress signals. ER stress-inducing compounds induce apoptosis when ER stress levels are already high (17). For example, bortezomib, a proteasome inhibitor that was approved for the treatment of multiple myeloma and mantle cell lymphoma, is an ER stress inducer. Unfortunately, studies using bortezomib as single agent or as combination therapy have not been effective in solid tumors. A promising alternative approach to induce excessive ER stress in cancer cells is to target PDI and folding of newly synthesized proteins in GBM. Indeed, we (24) and others (39, 40) have developed PDI-specific small molecule inhibitors that demonstrate significant anticancer activity. The results presented here validate PDI as a therapeutic target in GBM and demonstrate its efficacy in combination with radiotherapy through a novel mechanism involving the downregulation of DNA repair genes important in DSB repair.

PDI KD in a mouse xenograft model of GBM increased tumor doubling time from 6.8 to 11.5 days, and radiation as a single agent resulted in a tumor doubling time of 16.2 days. The poor response to radiation in GBM is consistent with preclinical and clinical findings of the refractory nature of the disease that has been attributed to an enhanced capacity of these cancer cells to repair damaged DNA (41). Our xenograft studies confirmed this with the observation that tumor recurrence occurred soon after completion of radiotherapy. Similarly, withdrawal of doxycycline

---

**Figure 6.** KD of PDI sensitizes GBM xenografts to radiation. Approximately, a million U87 cells with inducible PDI shRNA were implanted into the flanks of nu/nu mice. When tumor volumes reached around 100 mm³, mice were randomized into four groups and treatments: (i) control, (ii) doxycycline water (2 mg/mL in 5% sucrose water), (iii) radiation (2 Gy/day, 5 days/week), (iv) doxycycline water and radiation. The treatments were administered for 2 weeks. Doxycycline water was administered 3 days prior to radiation for combination groups. **A**, Tumors were monitored twice per week by caliper and volume was calculated as \( \frac{L \times W^2}{2} \), where \( W \) is tumor width and \( L \) is tumor length. Values were plotted as means ± SD from ≥5 mice per treatment group. **B**, Tumor doubling time was calculated according to an online calculator (http://www.chest-x-ray.com/index.php/calculators/doubling-time). Tumors in the combination group never grew back, thus the doubling time for this group was infinite. **C**, Body weight recorded during treatment. **D**, Tumors from two mice from each treatment group were processed within 1 hour after the last dose of radiation and prepared for Western blot analysis.
in xenografts with PDIA1 KD caused rapid tumor repopulation, indicating that as single agents, either modality may not significantly improve the management of GBM. However, when the modalities are combined, a dramatic and prolonged effect on tumor growth rates was observed such that even at the end of the study (day 50), a majority of the animals did not have detectable tumor growth and as such, the tumor doubling time could not be determined. The enhanced efficacy of the combination therapy was also observed in vitro with clonogenic survival assays conducted using two independent GBM cell lines. These findings provide a rationale and guidance for lead optimization studies of current PDI inhibitors.

In an effort to delineate the mechanistic basis for the robust synergistic effect observed upon the combination of PDI inhibition with radiation, we evaluated immediate changes in gene transcription and hallmarks of ER stress in D54 and U87 cells, expression of PDIA1-targeting siRNA or CRISPR/Cas9-mediated deletion of PDIA1 expression, resulted in an increase in ER stress as detected by upregulation of BiP and phosphorylated-eIF-2α. Nascent RNA Bru-seq analysis (7) was conducted to evaluate changes in genome transcription in an unbiased manner in response to PDI inhibition and surprisingly, transcription of several DNA repair genes was downregulated following PD1 KD (Fig. 2). Of these, RAD51 has been reported to be elevated in GBM (42), and inhibition of RAD51 using small molecules as well as siRNA sensitizes cells to DNA damaging agents (43–45). Our findings that PDI inhibition decreased expression of DNA repair genes and compromised ability to repair damaged DNA in response to IR are consistent with PDI being a promising therapeutic target for radiosensitization in GBM.

qRT-PCR studies measuring steady-state mRNA levels validated that RAD51 transcription was slightly downregulated upon PDI inhibition. Subsequent Western blot analysis for RAD51 protein confirmed that RAD51 was strongly downregulated, and that the induction of ER stress upon tunicamycin treatment also resulted in decreased RAD51 levels. A previous study showed that RAD51 was downregulated in response to ER stress induced by tunicamycin (46). This downregulation at the protein level appeared to be caused by ubiquitin-mediated proteasomal degradation and correlated with radiosensitization of A549 lung cancer cells. In concordance with those studies, we show that ER stress caused by inhibition of PDI expression resulted in increased proteasome-mediated degradation of RAD51. Our protein stability results in the presence of cycloheximide further revealed that, despite an observed decrease in RAD51 transcription and steady-state mRNA levels upon ER stress, the contribution of proteasomal degradation machinery was a dominant determinant of RAD51 levels, because MG132 treatment restored RAD51 to levels greater than in non-ER stressed control cells. A possible explanation is that RAD51 protein is under dynamic translational and posttranslational regulation where it is under constant proteasomal degradation as we demonstrated higher RAD51 protein level after MG132 treatment alone (Supplementary Fig. S4). In addition, the cycloheximide treatment was started after 16-hour MG132 rescue experiment, which might contribute to the higher RAD51 protein level observed compared with those without MG132 treatment.

RAD51 foci are detected in response to DNA damaging therapies that induce DSBs, including IR. Increased RAD51 protein levels are associated with therapeutic resistance and targeting of RAD51 using siRNA or Gleevec, a c-Abi inhibitor that has been shown to reduce RAD51 protein expression via inhibition of its transcription, sensitizes GBM to DNA damaging therapeutics (47, 48). RAD51 binds to single-stranded DNA at sites of the lesion to promote recombination repair in chromosomes containing a sister chromatid. Failure to repair damaged DNA in the S and G2 phases of the cell cycle prior to mitotic entry can induce G2 arrest or mitotic catastrophe, leading to the induction of apoptosis. Our results demonstrate that PDI KD is synergistic with radiation and specific targeting of PDI leading to ER stress and diminished levels of RAD51 provides a new therapeutic opportunity to sensitize GBM to radiotherapy.
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